



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/809,617 | 03/25/2004 | Katy Drieu | 427.035-DIV. | 7475 |
| 47888 7590 10/09/2007 HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C. 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036 | | | EXAMINER GOUGH, TIFFANY MAUREEN | |
| | | | ART UNIT 1657 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 10/09/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/809,617 | Applicant(s) DRIEU, KATY | |
| | Examiner Tiffany M. Gough | Art Unit 1657 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 4-8, 10, 11 and 13-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 4-8, 10, 11 and 13-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-3,9 have been cancelled by applicant in the amendment filed 9/05/2006. Applicant's arguments filed 3/9/2007 and 6/18/2007 have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The 112 1st rejection of record has been withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11 and its dependents **stand** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, applicant fails to distinctly claim the symptoms and drug/substance they are associated with, which are being alleviated by the Ginkgo biloba extract. Thus, it is confusing as to what drug and associated symptoms are being alleviated by the extract.

Claim Objections

Claims 11 and its therefore dependent claims 4-8,10,13-15 objected to because of the following informalities: The claim states a "dependency on consumption of

Art Unit: 1657

additive substances..." For purposes of examination it has been assumed that applicant means **addictive** substances. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The double patenting rejection has been withdrawn due to the Terminal Disclaimer filed 3/9/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4,5,10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsia et al (US 5976548) or Kleijnen (Lancet, vol 340,1992) or Blumenthal (The complete german Commission E Monographs,1998) supported by O'Reilly(EP 0436129 A) and Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences.

Applicant claims a method of alleviating withdrawal symptoms in a human for substance dependency or addiction by administering an amount of ginkgo biloba extract sufficient to alleviate the withdrawal symptoms. Applicant further claims the extract to be either form a ginkgolide (either A or B), a pharmaceutical salt thereof, a glycosylated ginkgolide, an alkylated ginkgolide and an acylated ginkgolide. Further, the extract is said to comprise 3.5 to 1% of ginkgo biloba A,B,C and J, 40-60% of flavoneglycosides,

Art Unit: 1657

and 5-7% of bilobalide wherein the ginkgo biloba extract contains at least 5% at least 50% of ginkgolides.

Hsia teach a composition comprising ginkgo biloba extract, including ginkgolide B which enhance memory, absent mindedness, confusion, headaches, and the ability to concentrate, improving cerebral metabolism, cerebral dysfunction, circulatory disturbances (see columns 2, lines 35-55, col. 4, lines 34-40).

Kleijnen teach ginkgo to be used therapeutically for cerebral insufficiencies, such as difficulty concentrating, memory, absent mindedness, confusion, lack of energy, tiredness, decreased physical performance, depression, anxiety, dizziness, tinnitus and headaches. They disclose ginkgo extracts to contain flavonoids, i.e. flavoneglycosides, ginkgolides (A,B,C and J) and bilobalide (see p. 1136 3rd, 4th paragraphs).

Blumenthal teach a ginkgo biloba extract containing 22-27% flavone glycosides, 2.8-3.4% ginkgolides A,B,C, 2.6-3.2% bilobalide and <100 ppm ginkgolic acids which is used to increase memory performance, compensate for a disturbed equilibrium, improve blood flow and hypoxic tolerance, treat memory deficits, disturbances in concentration, depression, dizziness, tinnitus and headaches.

O'Reilly disclose a therapeutic Ginkgo biloba extract composition containing 40-60% flavone glycosides, 5.5-8% ginkgolides (A,B,C and J) 5-7% bilobalide and a maximum of 10 ppm alkylphenol compounds.

Neither Hsia, Kleijnen, or Blumenthal teach a method of alleviating withdrawal symptoms specifically associated with substance dependency or addiction.

However, Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences teach withdrawal symptoms from alcohol, cigarettes, amphetamines, marijuana etc. to be central nervous system disturbances, loss of memory, impairment in hearing, headaches, disorientation, agitation, reduced oxygen transport, tinnitus (see p. 1290-1296).

Thus, as disclosed above, withdrawal symptoms associated with substance dependency and addiction are known. These symptoms are also disclosed in the art as being alleviated by ginkgo biloba extracts.

At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use ginkgo biloba extracts to alleviate symptoms associated with substance dependency and /or addiction because ginkgo biloba extracts are disclosed in the art as being used to alleviate symptoms such as headaches, difficulty concentrating, anxiety, central nervous system disturbance's, tinnitus , loss of memory, depression etc. , all of which are known symptoms associated with substance abuse and addiction.

Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use ginkgo biloba extracts with a reasonable expectation for successfully alleviating withdrawal symptoms associated with substance dependency and/or addiction because, while withdrawal symptoms associated with substance dependency and/or addiction are known in the art, ginkgo is also known to be used therapeutically to relieve such symptoms.

While neither reference teach the exact concentrations of the extract, it would be obvious to optimize these result effective variables by routine experimentation.

Art Unit: 1657

Generally, differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) (Claimed process which was performed at a temperature between 40°C and 80°C and an acid concentration between 25% and 70% was held to be prima facie obvious over a reference process which differed from the claims only in that the reference process was performed at a temperature of 100°C and an acid concentration of 10%.); see also Peterson, 315 F.3d at 1330, 65 USPQ2d at 1382 ("The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages."). See MPEP 2144.05

Thus, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is a confusion between "withdrawal symptom" and symptoms associated with short periods of intake of an addictive substance. Applicant does not claim any specific symptoms associated with withdrawal of addictive substances therefore differentiating between long and short term symptoms. Further, applicant only argues Remington's withdrawal symptoms for alcohol, not amphetamines and morphine. Further, withdrawal symptoms of alcohol,

amphetamines and morphine are different and in absence of evidence to the contrary, one would expect to alleviate any withdrawal symptoms associated with alcohol, amphetamines, and morphine, following "a period of addiction", as stated by applicant, p.8 of arguments. There is no time period to define how long one must have been dependent on the addictive substance nor what dependency is. Applicants arguments have been considered but are not persuasive.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tiffany M. Gough whose telephone number is 571-272-0697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tiffany Gough
/Ruth A Davis/
Primary Examiner, AU 1651